

Governance Summary Report

Organization: EXAMPLE - acme-demo
Completed By: repod demonstration

Review Period: Fictional snapshot - 5 September 2026
Timestamp: 2026-09-05 10:00 UTC

Executive signal

Governance score 67 / 100 · Developing maturity · MEDIUM risk.

EXECUTIVE CONCLUSION

Governance controls are partially effective, though direct repository access remains below target maturity.

GOVERNANCE POSTURE

Developing maturity with moderate governance risk.

KEY DRIVERS

- 2 repositories without team grants
- 3 direct repository grants remain

TL;DR

Confirm the purpose and owner of each direct grant before changing access.

Organization: EXAMPLE - acme-demo
Completed By: repod demonstration

Review Period: Fictional snapshot - 5 September 2026
Timestamp: 2026-09-05 10:00 UTC

1. EXECUTIVE GOVERNANCE SUMMARY

GOVERNANCE SCORE

67 / 100

GOVERNANCE MATURITY: Developing

Current access posture

RISK LEVEL

MEDIUM

Highest current governance concern

TL;DR: Confirm the purpose and owner of each direct grant before changing access.

Current governance assessment: Fictional data illustrating the paid workspace report format. This is not a customer assessment or compliance certification. The free audit provides an initial access preview; a paid workspace provides this report export.

Top governance risks:

No material high-risk governance findings detected.

TOTAL REPOS

12

Active repositories in the selected example scope

UNTEAMED REPOS

2

Repositories with no team grants

DIRECT GRANTS

3

Individual internal user-to-repository grants

BASE PERMISSION

None

Example organisation base repository permission

2. EXPLICIT RECOMMENDATIONS

PRIORITY 1

Review the three direct staff grants: confirm their purpose and owner, keep justified exceptions, and check team coverage before removing access.

Rationale: Repositories need a reviewable team owner or team-governance path before access decisions are defensible.

Impact: High **Effort:** Medium

Expected outcome: Repository accountability becomes visible and repeatable for quarterly reviews.

What good looks like: Governance Score target: 85+

- 100% repositories have accountable owning teams
- Direct access minimized or justified

3. HIGH-RISK USERS AND ACCESS REVIEW PRIORITIES

Users in scope: 1 · MFA Yes: 0 · MFA No: 0 · MFA Unknown: 1

Full report includes account-level and repository-level evidence required to execute remediation and support audit review.

GITHUB ID	RISK PROFILE	2FA	MAX ROLE	STATUS	NOTES
alex-demo	REVIEW: confirm purpose of direct access	Unk.	Write	Current access	Fictional grant to acme-demo/portal; compare with platform team membership.

4. REMEDIATION AND THREAT MITIGATION

No changes were made by this example audit.

No automated mitigation rows

No Auto-Expiring Access or automated threat mitigation rows were recorded for this reporting period.

Recent governance activity (last 30 days)

No recent governance activity

No governance activity was recorded in the last 30 days.

5. POLICY FINDINGS AND AUDIT DETAIL

Current risk settings			
SETTING	CURRENT VALUE	RISK	DETAIL
Org base repository permission	None	Low	Selected repositories can still have team and direct user grants.
Policy findings			
SEVERITY	FINDING	DETAIL	IMPACT
Medium	Direct staff grants require review	3 grants in the fictional snapshot. Inspect acme-demo/portal and acme-demo/deployment-controller.	Confirm purpose, ownership and other access paths before removing an exception. Effort: Low

APPENDIX: ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGY

ASSUMPTION / METHOD
This management summary reflects the latest Repod org-history evidence available at report generation time.
Repository-team coverage metrics use current team grants unless an explicit owning-team model is supplied.
MFA status is shown as unknown where GitHub API permissions or organization settings do not expose a definitive value.
Risk, maturity, recommendations, and good-state targets are derived from the reusable governance intelligence model.
This report is designed for operational governance review. Immutable auditor evidence is generated from finalized Compliance Reviews.